1	A NEW DAWN IN Checken Diagnostic Principles and Novative Treatments on the Horizon	Welcome to a New Dawn in Chronic Urticaria: Opportunities to Improve Patient Outcomes with Modern Diagnostic Principles and Innovative Treatments on the Horizon.
2	Recent Updates on the Immunological Processes That Contribute to the Burden of CU: What Do Healthcare Providers Need to Know? Marta Ferrer, MD, PhD Professor and Dean, School of Medicine Arta Ferrer, MD, PhD Professor and Photo PhotoP	We will cover Recent Updates on the Immunological Processes that Contribute to the Burden of CU: What Do Healthcare Providers Need to Know?
3	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Chronic urticaria is not a life- threatening disease; however, it implies a very high impact on quality of life and has important comorbidities. In this activity, we will cover this quality-of-life impact. We will briefly explain the epidemiology of chronic urticaria comorbidities, and then we will focus on new targets for drugs that they are developing and could cover those patients that are not controlled with present treatments that we have at hand.







	significantly higher in this sample than controls.	9	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	The second comorbidities that are found in chronic spontaneous urticaria are autoimmune disorders, as I will tell later. In a significant percentage of patients, 40% of patients, there is an autoimmune physiopathology underneath the urticaria. I bring here this very interesting study. It's very large, with more than 12,000 patients suffering from chronic spontaneous urticaria that were followed along 17 years in an Israel cohort and compared with 10,000 controls without suffering chronic spontaneous urticaria. And as you see here, the odds ratio or the probability to develop an autoimmune disease is very high. Especially here in women than in men. Autoimmune thyroid disease, rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes, Sjögren's disease, and also autoimmune biomarkers are
--	---	---	--	--



13	 Socioeconomic Burden: Systematic Review¹ S studies, before biologic treatments 30-50 years of age: work productivity, days off Economic burden higher with biologic treatments Before biologic treatments²: Total direct and indirect costs per patient with CIU, mean (SD): \$2047 (\$1483) annually Total direct and indirect costs of CIU in United States: \$244 million annually 	of life is lower in chronic spontaneous urticaria [in] all these dimensions than psoriasis. I think this data is very strong and is very graphic for us. Finally, there is also a health cost impact of this disease. There are these data that I explain here, are the last studies. However, both of them, they are performed before we had biologicals for chronic spontaneous urticaria or BTK inhibitors that are also expensive. So, I think this economical burden if we recalculate this now, it should be much, much higher.
14	 St-year-old woman referred for management of CU and angioedema Symptoms: 2-month history of pruritic wheals (recurrent and spontaneous) on externities and trunk, lasting <24 hours Elevated CRP, low IgE, basopenia; UAS7 score of 28 Unresponsive to antihistamine therapy (escalated 4× original dose) and 2 courses of systemic corticosteroids; now prescribed omalizumab In your experience, do individuals with these characteristics typically respond to conventional treatments? A yes Not currently practicing Oth or currently practicing 	I want to read a case study. It's a 35- year-old woman that is referred to our clinic and complains of chronic urticaria and angioedema. She refers a 2-month history of pruritic wheals. They are recurrent and spontaneous on extremities, trunk, lasting — every single hive — less than 24 hours. She has in the blood test elevated CR protein, has a low IgE value with basopenia, UAS score of 28, and is unresponsive to antihistamine therapy that has been already escalated up to four-fold from the standard dose and two courses of systemic corticosteroids. And now she's prescribed, she's being prescribed omalizumab. In your experience, do individuals with these characteristics typically respond favorably to conventional treatment? Yes, no, or not currently practicing?

15	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	These are the pretty straightforward treatment guidelines, which is stepwise. Going from standard dose of antihistamine; if no response, up dose up to four times; no response, go to omalizumab; and then if no response is found, the next step is cyclosporine. We should say that it's very, as I say, straightforward, simple; however, the real rate response with this treatment is not 100%. First of all, with standard antihistamine dose, the rate response is around 30%. Updosing the antihistamine could increase the response up to 60%, and then omalizumab of course has also a very high response. However, there is this
16	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><figure><figure><text></text></figure></figure></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	AWARE study that with a very large sample gathered all response rate; there is still 15% of patients that do not respond to available treatment and those patients are the ones who are important. Moreover, we are talking about partial response or complete response. Patients suffering from chronic spontaneous urticaria should get a complete response. We cannot be happy with a partial response, partial itch, hives in some parts of the body, some days with angioedema, this is considered partial response. Yet this, for the quality of life of patients, is really bad. So, we still have new molecules in order to offer to our patients suffering from current spontaneous urticaria complete control of the disease.



			But the problem of this is that
			autoimmunity [is only]
			demonstrated in 40% of cases. There
			is still 60% of patients who are
			having the same disease with the
			same response to treatment, [in
			whom] we could not demonstrate
			autoimmunity. But the important
			thing is that once the mast cell, I
			think basophils also play a role, is
			activated, it produces histamine and
			histamine is the responsible of the
			itch — stimulating the histamine
			receptor in the neurons and also the
			vasodilation and increase of
			permeability in the vessels, in the
			skin vessels. But the important thing
			here is that mast cells not only
			produce proteases or histamine, but
			plenty of different cytokines,
			chemokines, that would be
			responsible [for] the cell infiltrate.
			So [the] IgE receptor would be one
			of the targets that we could develop
			new drugs.
20			And the next thing we should
	Populations of CSU Based on Differ	ential Autoimmune	consider is that we could design new
	Mechanisms Leading to Mast Cell A	ctivation	molecules that act on all of these
	Type I autoimmunity Type II autoimmunity	 Patients may have type I 	mediators. Is there any difference
	IgM-anti-Fccri IgG-anti-FccRII IgG-anti-FccRII IgG-anti-FccRI	autoimmunity or both ¹	between this type of autoimmunity
	Mast Release of MBP_ECP_LTs Formophil	 Patients with type IIb CSU tend to have increased 	and this one [type I and type IIb]?
	IgE-anti-self IgG-anti-IgE	disease activity ¹ and severity ² and their disease may be	Could these patients be clinically
	DEGRANULATION	therapies ²⁻⁶	distinguished? And they are
	e g histamire		different. Patients suffering from
	Wheals Hich Angioedema Flare Cits condematifying an end city of a source source assure and a source of a source of the source of	ethions USPI important protein PAF clate of adhesting factor IRCP stem coll	type IIb from IgG autoantibodies,
	In agreement of additional patients preferences in the international of a second or an additional patients and a second or additional patients and a second or additional additional patients and a second or additional patient and a second or additional patients and a second or ad	Startis R. et al. J Many Clin Invested 2013;11(13):400-401 4. Region IU. et 20 nto: IVIC. et al. et J Common: 2016;175(2):434-465	they really have more basopenia,
			lower levels of IgE, and the urticaria
			is more severe and is more resistant
			to treatment.



24	<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	But mast cells as you know, they have many different receptors. And one of the most important receptors of mast cells are the stem cell factor ligand receptor, which is c-KIT. Because c-KIT not only activates signaling pathway, but also, it's responsible [for] mast cell proliferation, survival, differentiation. The levels of c-KIT correlate with many mast cell actions and also causes mast cell migration, so blocking this receptor is the fourth possible target to treat urticaria.
25	<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	What about complement? In the third layer, we talk about complement and here we are in a paper that we published with Kaplan, we portrayed how this IgG autoantibodies are from the subtype IgG1. And when binding in a close distance, they activate complement, and then by activating complement, they form C5a that activates mast cells. So C5A is another
26	<text><text><text></text></text></text>	possible target. As you know, endothelial cells and eosinophils, through the tissue factor, are responsible to activate extrinsic coagulation pathway, and this is the one which is responsible for the higher levels of the dimer. And, interestingly enough, it's not contained by thrombosis or bleeding. And also here it's formed C5a. So, if we blocked C5a, we could have this double action



		eosinophil apoptosis could be also another target.
31	<figure><figure></figure></figure>	In the next activity, all these molecules will be covered, so here I just display the summary and all the things we've explained so far: targeting the IgE receptor, C5a, c- KIT, Siglec-8, IL-4, IL-13, and of course all the BTK, SYK, tyrosine kinase signaling molecules.
32	Thank You!	So, thank you very much for your attention and this is the second activity of chronic spontaneous urticaria.